

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,366	01/30/2002	Robert Kay	5600-2	3751
7:	590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER
John V Moriarty			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
Woodard Emhardt Naughton Moriarty & McNett 111 Monument Circle Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46204		3611		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/914,366	KAY, ROBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joanne Silbermann	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-13 and 15-38 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim depends from itself.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murtha et al. US #4,642,710 in view of Vachette et al. US #6,532,690.
- 5. Murtha et al. teach a novelty device including a display of facial expressions synchronized with an audio device. An audio signal is read from a cassette tape and in turn drives the changing display such that the facial expressions of the display appear to be synchronized with the words or music on the tape.
- 6. Murtha et al. do not teach using lenticular images, however, this is well known in the art. Vachette teaches a display using lenticular images synchronized with sound. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize lenticular images as

Application/Control Number: 09/914,366

Art Unit: 3611

an equivalent alternative to the display of Murtha and also to provide a display which may not be easily damaged, especially when used by children.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

7. Claim 39 is allowed.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a method for operating a novelty device as described in claim 39, particularly including the duration of each sequence being dependent upon the drive signals generated during each repetition, and the length of each repetition being variable during playback.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s)of the claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Murtha and Vachette.
- 10. As discussed in Murtha, the display signal is derived from the audio signal (col. 3 line 65 through column 4 line 2).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent 6735566 is cited as of interest.

Ì

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 703-308-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611